

Serial No. 10/043,133  
October 9, 2003  
Reply to the Office Action dated April 9, 2003  
Page 2 of 10

**AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION:**

Please REPLACE the first full paragraph on page 4 with the following rewritten paragraph:

However, in the surface acoustic wave filter device 100 shown in Fig. 18, the balances are not ideal and insufficient. The reason for this is that since the bridging capacitance between the IDT 103 and the IDTs 102 and 104 at each side is added to the balanced signal terminal 107 and a capacitance is inserted between the balanced signal terminal 108 and a ground potential, the balanced signal terminals 107 and 108 have different ~~parasite~~parasitic capacitances. Thus, due to the difference between the parasitic ~~parasite~~ capacitances, the balances, particularly, the balances out of the pass band are lost and thereby attenuation out of the pass band decreases.

Please REPLACE the last full paragraph on page 25 with the following rewritten paragraph:

The one edge of the IDT section 1104A is connected to the ground potential and the one edge of the IDT section 1104B is connected to the unbalanced signal terminal 1129. Thus, in the surface acoustic wave filter 1101, the impedance of the terminal 1129 is preferably about four times the impedance of the surface acoustic wave filter terminal 1114. Similarly, in the surface acoustic wave filter 1115, the impedance of the terminal 1129 is preferably different from the impedance of the terminal 1128.